

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/753,869	01/03/2001	Richard Griffey	1BIS-0339	1982	
75	90 09/15/2004		EXAM	INER	
COZEN O'CONNOR P.C.			BORIN, MI	BORIN, MICHAEL L	
1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-3508			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·		1631		
			DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/753,869	GRIFFEY	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Borin	1631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ess
THE REPLY FILED 25 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE 7 Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a lion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b			
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) \(\sum_{\text{'}} \) they present additional claims without canceliNOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12, 27-29</u> .	. 		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			
		Man	1
		Michael Borin Prin Examiner Art Unit:	

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claim 27 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and rejection of claim 29 under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive; art and double-patenting rejections are maintained for the reasons of record.